

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/529,750		YAMADA, YASUHISA	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JUSTIN KRAUSE		3656	

All Participants:

(1) JUSTIN KRAUSE

(2) Nelson Shapiro

Date of Interview: 16 March 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

US Application 10/527,804

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Justin Krause/
Examiner, Art Unit 3656

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:50 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendment was made to clarify the language of claim 1, see attached examiner's amendment. Discussion of double patenting with regard to application 10/527,804 took place with the conclusion that there was no double patenting for the reasons stated in the Reasons for Allowance. .